

# MEMORANDUM

---

**From:** Kelly Potter, Senior Planner

**To:** Steve Rogers, Public Works Director  
Greg Gescher, Engineering Supervisor

**Date:** January 21, 2010

**Re:** Planning Commission's Recommendation on the Proposed 2011-2015 Capital Improvement Program

---

## I. ISSUE

Following its annual review of the Proposed Capital Improvement Program (CIP), the Planning Commission made several recommendations which are included in this memo for your consideration.

## II. BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION

The 2011-2015 CIP includes seven new projects, as well as seven existing projects that have had modifications to their scope of work. A staff report, dated January 8, 2010, was prepared for the Planning Commission and was distributed to you. The Planning Commission conducted its annual review of the Proposed 2011-2015 CIP on January 20, 2010, and found it consistent with the Comprehensive Plan subject to the following recommendations:

- A. Incorporation of all of the additional/modified assumptions included in the January 8, 2010, staff memo to the Planning Commission, which include the following:

**Reservoir Road Improvements Project -**

Recommendation: The Planning Commission recommends that the "Assumptions" for this project be amended to add the following text:

**Assumptions:** This project needs to be consistent with existing land use approvals and regulations.

**Osborne Aquatic Center Project -**

Recommendation: The Planning Commission recommends that the "Assumptions" for this project be amended to add the following text:

**Assumptions:** ...There is an existing Conditional Development approval (CDP02-00006) for the Osborne Aquatic Center.

**Riverfront Commemorative Park Project -**

**Assumptions:** ...This project needs to be consistent with existing land use approvals and regulations.

**Trails/Bike Paths Project -**

**Assumptions:** ...The land use evaluation will reveal any additional land use regulations that apply and whether or not any land use permits are needed.

**Storm Water Master Plan Projects -**

**Assumptions:** ...The projects need to be consistent with applicable land use regulations and approvals.

- B. Concurrence with criteria evaluations and conclusions in the January 8, 2010, staff memo to the Planning Commission and the Planning Commission discussion on this matter at its January 20, 2010, meeting.
- C. Decision to forward these determinations of consistency for the 2011-2015 Capital Improvement Program to the Capital Improvement Program Commission and the City Council, with the staff-recommended additional/modified assumptions.

**III. RECOMMENDATION**

This memo is informational and no formal action at this time is required. However, based on its discussions at its January 20, 2010, meeting and the analysis in the January 8, 2010, staff memo to the Planning Commission, the Planning Commission recommends that its comments be incorporated as the 2011-2015 CIP is finalized.