

Remaining Issues to be Addressed in Updates to the Comprehensive Plan or Implementing Documents

(Issues recommended for consideration by the OSU-Related Comprehensive Plan Review Task Force, in addition to the Comprehensive Plan Finding and Policy language proposed by the Task Force)

1. There is a need for clarity of meaning and expectations when master plans, district plans, and similar plans are considered for land use approval or adoption.
2. The Comprehensive Plan should contain a definition for Transportation Demand Management.
3. There is a need to resolve discrepancies between the OSU Campus Master Plan and the requirements of Land Development Code Chapter 3.36.
4. In order for associated parking or transportation demand management measures required to serve new development on the OSU Campus to be effective, the location of parking or TDM measures in relation to the new development should be carefully considered.
5. Review of permitted uses in the OSU District is warranted to identify uses that may need Conditional Development review, based on livability impacts.
6. Management of open space has affected neighborhood livability throughout the City.
7. Proposed Comprehensive Plan Policy 13.2.6 should be amended to stipulate that OSU monitoring reports should be reviewed annually by the Planning Commission and City Council. (also, references to only the “Campus Master Plan” should be corrected in Proposed Policy 13.2.6.)
8. Monitoring of enrollment data should be included in the annual reports, including those physically on campus, e-campus, etc.
9. There should be discussion of monitoring parking annually within the University Neighborhoods Overlay (UNO) area.
10. The current moral hazard of OSU parking management (incentive to not have higher on-campus parking utilization) should be eliminated.
11. Traffic and parking studies should all be conducted at the same peak time every year.
12. Clarify the intended meaning of the word “support” in Policies 8.4.1 and 8.4.2, and in other places where it might be used.
13. Determine tax status of private corporations operating on public property, such as a public-private partnership to provide on-campus housing.
14. Tracking level of service of public amenities is necessary as population increases in density.

15. Potential for conflict between adoption of the Comprehensive Plan amendments and the OSU District Plan submission, including the issue of the District Plan being adopted concurrently with implementing regulations.
16. The fate of the interim parking agreement.